

## HW 7

### Due: Friday, November 1

§3.13/21, 33, 56b, 58, 61; §3.14/12, 14

Also, implement the algorithm of 56b as a program `expmod(y, x, n)`. Check that it works by evaluating `expmod(13, 56728, 28139)`.

Hint for 56b: let  $x_k$  be the number with binary expansion  $b_{w-k+1}b_{w-k+2}\dots b_w$ . Show that after  $k$  iterations of the loop,  $b \equiv y^{x_k} \pmod{n}$  and  $x = x_k$ .

3.13.56b Here's the code:

---

```
def expmod(y, x, n):
    """Compute  $y^x \pmod{n}$ ."""
    a, b, c = x, 1, y
    while a != 0:
        if a%2 == 0:
            a, c = a//2, (c*c)%n
        else:
            a, b = a-1, (b*c)%n
    return b
```

---

Notice that at each iteration,  $a$  is getting smaller, so eventually  $a = 0$ . Thus the algorithm eventually terminates.

For the proof, first observe that if the value of  $a$  is odd, then in the loop the new value of  $a$  is even ( $a=a-1$ ). Thus we could rewrite the code as follows:

---

```
def expmod(y, x, n):
    """Compute  $y^x \pmod{n}$ ."""
    a, b, c = x, 1, y
    while a != 0:
        if a%2 != 0:
            b = (b*c)%n
        a, c = a//2, (c*c)%n
    return b
```

---

With this new code, let  $a_k, d_k, c_k$  be the values of  $a, b, c$  after  $k$  iterations. (I use  $d_k$  instead of  $b_k$  since  $b_k$  is already taken in the binary expansion of  $x$ .)

Clearly  $c_k = y^{2^k} \pmod{n}$ . Since taking the quotient on division by 2 is the same as dropping the last bit, we have that  $a_k$  has binary expansion

$$b_1 b_2 \dots b_{w-k}.$$

Finally, I will show by induction that  $d_k \equiv y^{(b_{w-k+1}\dots b_w)2^k} \pmod{n}$ . When  $k = 0$ , the exponent is 0, and indeed  $d_0 = 1$ . Thus the base case holds.

Suppose  $d_k \equiv y^{(b_{w-k+1}\dots b_w)2^k} \pmod{n}$ . On the  $k+1$ st iteration, there are 2 cases: either  $b_{w-k} = 0$  or 1. If  $b_{w-k} = 1$ , since  $a_k = (b_1 b_2 \dots b_{w-k})$ , then

$a_k$  is odd. Thus

$$d_{k+1} = d_k \cdot c_{k-1} \equiv y^{(b_{w-k+1} \dots b_w)_2} \cdot y^{2^{k-1}} \pmod{n} = y^{(1b_{w-k+1} \dots b_w)_2}.$$

Since  $b_{w-k} = 1$ , the inductive claim follows. If  $b_{w-k} = 0$ , then

$$d_{k+1} = d_k \equiv y^{(b_{w-k+1} \dots b_w)_2} \pmod{n} = y^{(0b_{w-k+1} \dots b_w)_2},$$

and the claim follows again.

Finally, the output occurs when  $a_k = 0$ ; by our formula for  $a_k$ , this occurs when  $k = w$ . From our formula for  $d_k$ , the algorithm is correct.

3.13.58 For (a), there are at most 4 square roots of  $x$ , so she expects to get  $m$  on average after 4 iterations.

For (b), there is no efficient method for Oscar to compute *any* square roots without knowing  $p$  and  $q$ .

For (c), Eve enters 1 several times. Note that both 1 and  $-1 \equiv n-1$  are square roots of 1, but there are 2 others. Eve inputs 1 until she gets one of the *other* square roots of 1; say she obtains  $m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then she computes  $\gcd(m-1, n)$  to get one of the prime factors.

The reason this works is as follows. By Sun Tzu's Theorem,  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , but we cannot have

$$m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{q},$$

for in this case we would have  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Similarly, we cannot have

$$m \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, m \equiv -1 \pmod{q}.$$

Therefore she has obtained

$$m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, m \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$$

or vice versa; without loss of generality she gets the above. Then  $p \mid (m-1)$  while  $q \nmid (m-1)$ , and hence  $\gcd(m-1, n) = p$ , enabling her to factor  $n$ .

3.14.12 As 34807 is a prime  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  we may use the proposition in §3.9. We have  $(34807 + 1)/4 = 8702$ , and using our Python code,

---

```
expmod(26055, 8702, 34807)
```

---

yields 33573. We have to check that this works with

---

```
expmod(33573, 2, 34807)
```

---

which indeed equals 26055.