

## Homework 8 Selected Solutions

Due: Tuesday, March 24

3A.12 We will show the additivity condition does not hold. But first we must come up with the two vectors we wish to add! The hypothesis that  $S \neq 0$  means that  $\exists u \in U$  such that  $S(u) \neq 0$ . This  $u$  will be our first vector. Since  $U \neq V$ ,  $\exists w \in V \setminus U$ . As  $0 \in U$  since  $U$  is a subspace, we know that  $w \neq 0$ . This  $w$  is our second vector. I first claim that  $u + w \notin U$ . To show this, we assume for the sake of contradiction that  $u + w \in U$ . Then since  $U$  is closed under scalar multiplication and vector addition,

$$w = (u + w) + (-1)u \in U.$$

This is a contradiction of our choice of  $w$ . Therefore  $u + w \notin U$ .

From our definition of  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= S(u) \neq 0, \\ T(w) &= 0, \text{ and} \\ T(u + w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

But also

$$T(u) + T(w) = S(u) + 0 = S(u) \neq 0.$$

Therefore  $T(u + w) \neq T(u) + T(w)$ , and hence  $T$  is not linear.

3A.15 We need to pick our  $w_i$ . To do this, we have to use our linear dependence relation on the  $v_i$ . It's actually easiest to use the linear dependence lemma. That lemma implies that  $\exists k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , and scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}$  such that

$$v_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

Now we choose our  $w_i$ . Let  $w \in W$  be any nonzero vector; we know there is one since  $W \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $w_k = w$ , and for  $i \neq k$ , let  $w_i = 0$ .

I claim there is no linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  satisfying  $T(v_i) = w_i$  for all  $i$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose there were such a  $T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_k \\ &= T(v_k) \\ &= T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}) \\ &= a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_{k-1} T(v_{k-1}) \text{ by linearity of } T \\ &= a_1 \cdot 0 + \dots + a_{k-1} \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By our choice of  $w$ ,  $w \neq 0$ . Thus we have a contradiction, and hence there is no such  $T$ .

By the way, notice that the proof works even if  $k = 1$ . For then equation (1) becomes  $v_1 = 0$ , and we'd have  $w = w_1 = T(v_1) = T(0) = 0$ , so the proof as written still works.

3B.9 Suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are scalars satisfying

$$a_1 Tv_1 + \dots + a_n Tv_n = 0.$$

By linearity of  $T$ ,

$$T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n) = 0.$$

In particular,  $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \in \text{null}(T)$ . But  $T$  is injective, so  $\text{null}(T) = \{0\}$ . Hence

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0.$$

By hypothesis,  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is linearly independent, so  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n$  is linearly independent.