

## Homework 5 Selected Solutions

Due: Tuesday, February 24

2A.1 Let  $U$  be the given subspace. I claim that

$$(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1), (1, -1, 0), (2, -1, -1)$$

span  $U$ . I leave to the reader to check that these 4 lie in  $U$ . Thus by Prop 2.6, the span is a subset of  $U$ . Next, let  $u \in U$ . Write  $u = (x, y, z)$ . Since  $x + y + z = 0$ , we have  $z = -x - y$ . Then

$$x(1, 0, -1) + y(0, 1, -1) = (x, y, -x - y) = u.$$

Thus  $u$  lies in the span of the 4 vectors. (We only used the first two vectors, but we are not required to use all 4.) This shows that  $U$  is a subset of the span, and so we have equality.

2A.12 If  $v_1 + w, v_2 + w, \dots, v_m + w$  is linearly dependent,  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ , not all zero, such that

$$a_1(v_1 + w) + a_2(v_2 + w) + \dots + a_m(v_m + w) = 0.$$

Rearranging, we get

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_mv_m = (-a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_m)w. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\lambda = -a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_m$ . We would like to divide by  $\lambda$ , but to do that, we need to know that  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\lambda = 0$ . Then since  $0 \cdot w = 0$ ,

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0.$$

But  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent, so this would imply that the  $a_i$  are all zero. Our earlier assumption was that they are *not* all zero! This is a contradiction. Therefore  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Going back to equation (1), we divide both sides by  $\lambda$  to obtain

$$\frac{a_1}{\lambda}v_1 + \frac{a_2}{\lambda}v_2 + \dots + \frac{a_m}{\lambda}v_m = w,$$

which proves that  $w \in \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ .

2A.18 We will use Prop 2.22 and come up with arbitrarily long linearly independent lists. Let  $e_i$  be the vector with a 1 in the  $i$ th place and 0 in all other places. For each  $n$ , I claim that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  is linearly independent. (Note that we cannot “let  $n = \infty$ ” since the definition of linear independence only applies to finite lists.) For given scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ,

$$a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + \dots + a_n e_n = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, 0, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Thus if the above equals the zero vector, then  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . This proves linear independence.

If  $\mathbb{F}^\infty$  were finite-dimensional, there would be a finite spanning list, say of size  $m$ . Let  $n = m + 1$ . Then as shown above, there is a list of  $m + 1$  linearly independent vectors. This contradicts Prop 2.22. Therefore  $\mathbb{F}^\infty$  is infinite-dimensional.